The Problem with DoDAF Models

Michael J. Vinarcik, P.E., FESD, Chief Solutions Architect Mark S. Gibson, SAIC Fellow



Bringing Rigor and Consistency to DoDAF Artifacts

Problem

- Programs receive DoDAF artifacts that often are not fully reviewed due to the effort involved
- Static artifacts may not facilitate agile development / set-based design
- Architecture gaps lead to downstream errors, rework, and cost/schedule overruns

Solution

- The NomoGraph process was developed (by Mark Gibson, former Engility) as an Excel-based method to assess DoDAF artifact quality
- The improved NomoGraph process uses MagicDraw, a system modeling tool, to:
 - Reduce the costs associated with analyzing DoDAF artifacts
 - Increase the number of analyses available
 - Allow for automated validation rules and error-checking
- Similar validation rules can be applied to any DoDAF/UAF products before submission to improve the quality of deliverables



2

The Problem SAIC. Redefining Ingenuity

The Law of Conservation of Systems Engineering



The amount of systems engineering required for a given project is fixed. You don't get to choose how much systems engineering you do. You simply get to choose when you do it (up front, or during integration and testing), how much positive impact it has, and how much it costs. – James Long, FINCOSE

System Complexity is Growing Exponentially



The Department of Defense Recognizes Current Approaches Cannot Manage This Explosion in Complexity

Our current defense acquisition system applies industrial age processes to solve information age problems.

- LtGen Robert D. McMurray, AFLCMC/CC



The Solution: Rigor At the Speed of Relevance

rigor | \ 'ri-gər \

The quality or state of being very exact, careful, or strict. - Merriam-Webster, 2017 <image>

The Solution: Rigor At the Speed of Relevance

rigor | \'ri-gər \

Scrupulous adherence to established standards for conduct of work

 NASA Final Report of the Return to Flight Task Group, Appendix A.2, 2005



DoD Vision: Gain Rigor via Digital Engineering (DE) And Accelerate Technical Integration by Connecting Data





The Problem With DODAF Application

10

The Problem with DoDAF

- Intended as an architectural framework
- Leads to siloed, disconnected views of system
- No guarantee of consistency between views
- Often delivered as PDFs, Excel, or other disjointed artifacts
- Recipients cannot or do not review thoroughly





Expectations > Current Reality

Architecting defines what to design, while design defines what to build.

- Hillary Sillitto, Architecting Systems: Concepts, Principles, and Practice



Expectations > Current Reality

Failure to assess architecture quality results in:

- Cybersecurity vulnerabilities
- Design errors
- Test failures
- Increased costs (especially integration)
- Negative schedule impact



A Customer Example: Government Program (Head Start) Findings





Courtesy Mark Gibson, SAIC Technical Fellow

The Approach



How do you determine if an architecture package is complete, consistent, and traceable?



What type of review is done for contractor deliverables before submission for review in IAMS?





Do the Viewpoints (Models) have all the required fields to ensure Viewpoint (Model) traceability?



Have you verified and validated your models before giving them to down stream users?





Do you contract for DoDAF Core Model Delivery or for DoDAF Viewpoints?



Are the DoDAF Models altered by hand ("Hand Jamming") after being generated by Modeling Software?



What is an Architecture NomoGraph?

- Multi-dimensional Relational Analysis using a series of aligned tables that relate design parameters
- Graphically displays errors with relationships of model elements.
- Relates multiple operational and system
 parameters to check consistency

While it is effective, it is labor intensive.

Traceability NomoGraph				
	Operational Exchange			nge
Table One	OE 1	EO 2	OE 3	OE
Task/Activities				
T1				
Т2				
Т3				
Т				
Table 2	OE 1	EO 2	OE 3	OE
Networks				
N1				
N2				
N3				
N				
Table 3	OE 1	EO 2	OE 3	OE
System Resource				
SRI 1				
SRI 2				
SRI 3				
SRI				
Table 4	OE 1	EO 2	OE 3	OE
From Performer				
PP 1				
PP 2				
PP 3				
PP				
To Performer				
CCP 1				
CCP 2				
CCP 3				
ССР				

Extreme Example of Errors Relating OV-3 and SV-6 DoDAF Viewpoints



Improved NomoGraph

Initial NomoGraphs were executed in Microsoft Excel

Formulae may "fail silently"

Not all relevant questions may be answered

Improved NomoGraph

Cameo Enterprise Architecture (MagicDraw) SysML Structured Expressions

Improved NomoGraph

Guiding Principles

Fastest, least customized approach to import, connect, and analyze information

Do not create what can be unambiguously inferred





Proof of Concept: Analysis Rules



Tables



Matrices



Validation Suites





Rapid Analysis Is Possible

- DoDAF artifacts can be converted into SysML elements for analysis using structured queries and validation rules
- This allows key advantages of MBSE to be realized without the burden of recreating every DoDAF view and artifact
- Time to complete this analysis: <16 hours (some of that was invested in method development)
- Other projects can leverage the custom queries and modeling pattern to produce improved NomoGraphs
- Using MagicDraw allows additional queries to be created using the established relationships and elements

Value can be rapidly extracted even if descriptive system model is not available



Benefits

Improved NomoGraph evaluation process can be applied to:

- Internal DoDAF/UAF work
- Delivered CDRLs and artifacts

The Outcome:

- Improved:
 - Rigor
 - Speed of delivery
 - Support for agile / set-based design
 - Program outcomes
 - Error detection
 - Cybersecurity and integration
- Programs succeed at lower cost and with reduced schedule slippage



DigitalEngineering@saic.om

